

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CommissionER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
09 448,277	11/24/1999	WOONG SIK CHOI	8733.20015 4859		
7:	590 01 30 2002				
LONG ALDRIDGE & NORMAN LLP 701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			EXAMINER		
			QI, ZHI QIANG		
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/448,277		CHOI, WOONG SIK				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mike Qi		2871				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the c	over sneet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE M - Extens after Si - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, bly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a) In no event, within the statutor will apply and will e , cause the applica	however, may a reply be timely minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.								
4.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1,3-6,9-12,14-18 and 20-22 is/are rejected.								
7)⊡ (7) Claım(s) <u>2,7,8,13 and 19</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicatio	n Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
a)								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		· <u> </u>	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/448,277 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In Figs. 1 and 2, a label -- Prior art-- is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 4. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,226,057 (Lee).

Application/Control Number: 09/448,277 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 1 and 12, Lee discloses (col. 3, line 45 – col.7, line 45; Figs.2A and 2B) a liquid crystal display device comprising:

- substrate (12);
- first and second gate lines (14m, 14m-1) formed on the substrate (12);
- first and second source bus lines (data lines) (22n-1, 22n) intersecting the first and second gate lines (14m, 14m-1) so as to define a pixel region, wherein each of the first data line (22n-1) and the second data line (22n) has longitudinally separated first and second regions (i.e., the data lines are overlapped by the pixel electrode 26n);
- insulating film (28) covering the first and the second gate lines (14m, 14m-1) and the first and the second data lines (22n-1, 22n);
- pixel electrode (26n) disposed in the pixel region and overlapping at least the first and the second regions of the first and the second data lines (22n-1, 22n);
- switching element (TFT, T1) disposed in the pixel region and connected between the second gate line (14m-1) and the pixel electrode (26n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/448,277

Art Unit: 2871

6. Claims 4-6, 10-11,15-17 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of US 5,757,444 (Takemura).

Claims 5 and 16, Takemura discloses (col.6, lines 48-67; Fig.4) that the signal to be applied to the data lines Ym and Ym+1 have the same pulse height and opposite polarity (alternating current driving method), and thus affection of these data lines on the picture-element electrode (pixel electrode) is mutually offset. Takemura indicates that as a result, there occurs no cross-talk which would be induced by the coupling between the data line and the picture-element electrode (pixel electrode).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use an alternating current driving method as claimed in claims 5 and 16 for suppressing the cross-talk phenomenon.

Claims 4, 10, 15, and 21, Takemura discloses (col.7, lines 41-45) that the overlap between the picture-element electrode and the data line is also symmetrical between right and left side (i.e., the first and the second regions, the right side and the left side overlapping regions, are approximately the same), and by proper performing the alternation of the data line as described above, the cross-talk is completely removed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the first and the second region are approximately the same as claimed in claims 4, 10, 15 and 21 for removing the cross-talk.

Claims 6, 11, 17 and 22, Lee discloses (col.4, lines 30-34; Fig.2A) that the pixel electrode (26n) are beyond the adjacent source bus lines (data lines) arranged at left

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/448,277

Art Unit: 2871

and right sides thereof and then extended to edges of the adjacent pixel electrodes in row direction, i.e., the pixel electrode extends over the date lines.

7. Claims 3, 9, 14, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee and Takemura as applied to claims 1,12, 4-6, 10-11,15-17 and 21-22 above.

Claims 3, 9, 14 and 20, using reflective pixel electrode in a reflection type LCD was common and known in the art as employing high reflective material such as aluminum as the pixel electrode for achieving thin and light-weight and low electricity consuming.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use reflective pixel electrode as claimed in claims 3, 9, 14 and 20 for achieving low electricity consuming.

Claim 18, Lee discloses (col.4, lines 30-34; Fig.2A) that the pixel electrode (26n) are beyond the adjacent source bus lines (data lines) arranged at left and right sides thereof and then extended to edges of the adjacent pixel electrodes in row direction, i.e., the pixel electrode extends over the date lines.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2, 7-8,13 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2871

The prior art of record neither teaches nor discloses that a liquid crystal display device comprises various elements, more specifically, as the following:

the pixel electrode overlaps the first and second data lines by whole width of the data lines and by a substantially one-half length of each one of the first and second the data lines [claims 2, 7-8,13 and 19].

The closest reference US 6,226,057 (Lee) discloses (Fig.2A) that the pixel electrode (26n) overlaps the first and the second data lines (22n-1, 22n) by whole width of the data lines, but it does not teach that the pixel electrode overlaps the data lines by a substantially one-half length of the data lines. The claim 7 is dependent on the claim 2, so that the claims 2 and 7 contain the subject matter described above.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (703) 308-6213. The examiner can normally be reached on 349.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Sikes can be reached on (703) 308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7721 for regular communications and (703) 308-7721 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/448,277

Art Unit: 2871

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mike Qi January 16, 2002

Milliam L Seks
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800